

# CC-WARE

TW 55 Workshop  
04.11.2014, Budapest



Minutes



## 1 Finalisation of transnational management issues

Zoltan Simonffy (NEKI) presents first of all a paper called “**Visions**”. It describes the future frame of drinking water supply (DWSUP) in SEE derived from Blueprint “Safeguard European Water”, related to EU 2020 strategy. Management and operation issues will be based on the existing EU legislation and strategies as Drinking Water Directive, Water Framework Directive and other directives aiming at reducing pollution, Blueprint, White Paper on climate change, Danube strategy (for the countries, who are not involved in the Danube region a similar strategy - like Mediterranean Strategy - should be considered) etc. as they are obligatory for all EU Member States and accepted also by Non-EU Member States like Serbia.

These concepts and strategies give the frame for more specific “daughter -strategies”. Within this common approach the diversity of the SEE-region has to be taken into account, e.g. the respective governance dealing with DWSUP, when considering possible solutions. Furthermore the whole spectrum of potential drinking water users and the derived interlinkages and discrepancies between them has to be surveyed; For example: water pollutions trough fertilization measures, additional observation stations for small water suppliers - who pays for it? Nevertheless on the one hand the cost recovery principle, which allows considering the costs of the maintenance and the protection of environment as well, and on the other hand social acceptable prices for drinking water should be obligatory due to the Water Framework Directive.

Within this work the focus should be laid on some simple “visions” and derived from them some “subvisions”. The target is the harmonisation of a safe drinking water supply considering the problems within the implementation of EU-Guidelines.

In the second part the paper “**Identification of strategic issues**” is presented by Zoltan Simonffy. The difference between “transnational” and “transboundary” issues is discussed, whereas the focus should be on “transnational issues” -similar problems in different countries.

The main aspects in this context, which should be surveyed by each country, are listed and discussed by the participants:

- Description of current and future potential “critical areas” - substantial water resources and important groundwater bodies, which have to be safeguarded due

to the evaluation of River Basin Management, EU WFD and other studies on national level. Furthermore the results of WP3 maps should be evaluated and compared with the experiences and observations on national level. Discrepancies between these two study-levels and issues, which were not surveyed within the WP3 maps (e.g. influences trough industry), should be mentioned. Concerning some possible problems occurring in small karstic springs due to climate change (e.g. in Austria) for example can be described in general. Zoltan will simplify and merge the actual listed strategic issues of quantity and quality and sent them out to all PP (including deadlines for filling out) within the next days.

- Protection of existing and future drinking water sources trough policies, strategies, legislation etc. The conclusions of WP 4 (act. 4.1) and WP5 Report concerning legislation and policies build the basis for identifying strategic issues. This list of conclusions should be checked by each PP. The 3 questions at the end of this list are just used for the “National Action Plan”-brochure and will not be included within the WP 5 Report.
- Potential strategic issues concerning “ecosystem services” as natural basis for the protection of drinking water resources. Prvoslav Marjanovic as WP4-Leader will provide some additional points (e.g. methodology for evaluation).
- Potential strategic issues concerning “reliability (instead of “safety”) of DWS” related to Safety Plan. They are completed with two additional points: economic issues, special problems of small waterworks.
- Potential strategic issues concerning “socio-economic issues”. Prvoslav Marjanovic shows some figures of studies outlining the linkage between poor countries and lack of implementation of safeguarding drinking water resources.
- Potential strategic issues concerning “institutional frame” considering administrative difficulties and insufficient policy and decision making (e.g. in the context with permission of drinking water protection zones). Governance is preferred instead of institutional frame.
- Potential strategic issues concerning “level of knowledge and uncertainties” within waterworks. They are completed with two additional points: limited experience, research capacity - knowledge transfer

## 2 Finalisation of management options and related measures

Zoltan Simonffy presents a paper containing the main groups of management options:

- Management of drinking water resources
- Management of water supply system
- Water demand management
- Regulation (legislation)
- Governance

Each group consists of several potential measures.

The logical link between issues and objectives and the corresponding possible management options will be summarised in a table with the following headline:

Issue	Objective	Management options				
		Resources	WSSys	WDem	Regulation	Governance
Issue1	Objective 1	1., 2.		2.	3.	6.

(Numbers at management options reflect number of the relevant measures if not all. These are potential measures for future national action plans, not all of them should be applied in all countries)

The draft version of the table will be setup by WP leader, and then circulated for partner's comments. List of measures should also be checked and completed. Based on this info relevancy of measures can be evaluated by WP leader.

## 3 Finalisation of Action Plan Frame

Since TW54 meeting 3 countries have sent good example project/programme description: Bulgaria, Greece and Romania. The following contributions are missing (final deadline: 30<sup>th</sup> of November 2014).

- IT - reduction of drinking- and industrial water demand
- AT - forest management in drinking water protected area
- SI - moor management
- SRB - DREP

## Template for description of a good example project/programme

- Reason of action (problems to be solved)
- Objectives of action
- Description of the Action:
  - content
  - schedule: preparation, implementation, lag time
  - budget
  - Institutions need for implementation
  - legislation to be change, improve
  - Improved knowledge
- Result of the action (impact on water quality and quantity, climate change vulnerability)
- Indicators of the action: status at start, status at the end
- Stakeholders and acceptance, satisfaction of action by SHs, highlight conflicts
- Links, references, pictures, figures, tables

## **4 Conclusions, further tasks, time schedule**

The TW55 meeting has met its aims to agree on CC-WARE vision statements, on potential transnational strategic issues under CC in SEE, and on structure and groups of management options to joint to objectives.

Based on the comments and posts of the Partners the drafting of documents will be done by WP5 leader.

The following table summarises the tasks and schedules needed to finalise WP5.

## Timetable

<b>ACT. 5.1</b>	<b>Deadlines</b>	<b>Responsible</b>
Introduction, vision statement	Together with report	
	Together with report	
Analysis of situation (problems) Identification of strategic issues	Corrected questionnaire: 10.11.2014 National reviews : 24.11.2014 Critical areas: 25.11.2014 Draft: together with report	HU SLO, SR, IT, AT, SLO, SR
Objectives and related measures	Draft: 28.11.2014 Comments: 02.12.2014 Final: together with report	HU PPs
Contributions to EU policies	Together with report	
Report	Draft: 05.12.2014 Comments: 10.12. 2014 Final: 18.12.2014	HU PPs HU
<b>ACT 5.2</b>		
Description of the planning process	Draft: 10.12.2014 Comments: 18.12.2014 Final: 22.12.2014	HU PPs HU
Planning techniques and tools	Draft: 10.12.2014 Comments: 15.12.2014 Final: 18.12.2014	HU PPs HU
Action Plan Frame (+ good examples)		
Existing actions	30.11.2014	PPs
New ideas	Draft: Waidhofen PW3 Comments: Waidhofen PW3 Final: 10.12.2014	PPs PPs HU
<b>ACT 5.3</b>		
Report on KT Conference	Draft: 20.11.2014 Comments: 25.11.2014 Final: 30.11.2014	LP PPs LP